arXiv:1411.1596v1 [math.NA] 6 Nov 2014

Numerical solution of fractional order diffusion problems with Neumann boundary conditions

Béla J. Szekeres[1] and Ferenc Izsák[1, *]

November 7, 2014

[1] Department of Applied Analysis and Computational Mathematics,
Eötvös Loránd University H-1117, Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/C, Hungary.

Abstract

A finite difference numerical method is investigated for fractional order diffusion problems in one space dimension. For this, a mathematical model is developed to incorporate homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann type boundary conditions. The models are based on an appropriate extension of the initial values. The well-posedness of the obtained initial value problems is proved and it is pointed out that the extensions are compatible with the above boundary conditions. Accordingly, a finite difference scheme is constructed for the Neumann problem using the shifted Grünwald–Letnikov approximation of the fractional order derivatives, which is based on infinite many basis points. The corresponding matrix is expressed in a closed form and the convergence of an appropriate implicit Euler scheme is proved.

Keywords: fractional order diffusion; Grünwald–Letnikov formula; non-local derivative; Neumann boundary conditions; implicit Euler scheme.

1 Introduction

A widely accepted constitutive relation, the first Fick's law leads to the standard diffusion model. At the same time, more observations confirmed the presence of super- and

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: izsakf@cs.elte.hu, tel.: +36 13722500-8428, fax: +36 13812158.

subdiffusive dynamics in several phenomena. They range from the plasma physics [24] through population dynamics [7] and groundwater flows [2] to the anomalous diffusion of some chemical compounds. These observations inspired the application of the fractional calculus, which has a long history [17]. An alternative approach for modeling superdiffusion can be given in the framework of the nonlocal calculus, which has been recently developed, see [6] and [5] for an up-to-date overview with further references.

Many attempts were made for the numerical solution of the corresponding space-fractional PDE's. Most of them based on finite difference discretization. It was pointed out that a non-trivial discretization of the one sided fractional derivatives lead to a stable method [14]. This result has been generalized in many aspects: higher-order methods and multi-dimensional schemes [4],[21],[22],[23],[27] were constructed and analyzed. Note that recently the finite element (Galerkin) discretization was initiated [16] for the fractional diffusion equations and a composite approach was analyzed [11].

The problem which inspired the present research is the following. If a superdiffusive evolution of some density u is observed on a physical volume then we have information on the density only on the closure of this. On the other hand, in the mathematical model, nonlocal operators are used, which require the value of u also outside of the domain. For an accurate finite difference approximation we also need values outside of the domain. In this way, it is natural to look for an extension of the density u.

The majority of the authors consider homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and assume *zero* values outside of the domain. A similar approach is applied in the non-local calculus [5].

Regarding Neumann type boundary conditions, at our best knowledge, there is only one attempt [12], dealing the boundary condition at the operator level and proposing a matrix transformation technique.

The aim of this paper is to

- develop a meaningful mathematical approach to model homogeneous Neumann (and Dirichlet) boundary conditions
- analyze the well-posedness of the corresponding PDE's
- construct a corresponding finite difference scheme with a full error analysis.

2 Mathematical preliminaries

Fractional calculus We summarize some basic notions and properties of the fractional calculus. For more details and examples we refer to the monographs [13],[15],[18].

To define an appropriate function space for the fractional order derivatives on the real axis we introduce for arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ the function spaces

$$\bar{C}(a,b) = C[a,b]|_{(a,b)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{C}(a,b)/\mathbb{R} = \{f \in \bar{C}(a,b) : \int_a^b f = 0\}.$$

With these we define

$$C_I(\mathbb{R}) = \{b-a \text{ - periodic extension of } f\text{: } f \in \bar{C}(a,b)/\mathbb{R}\}.$$

Remarks:
1. Functions in $C_I(\mathbb{R})$ are bounded and they are continuous except of the possible discontinuity points $\{a + k(b-a) : \ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
2. In the points $\{a + k(b-a) : \ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ we define f to be $\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}$. $\square$

Definition 1 *For the exponent $\beta \in (0,1)$ the fractional order integral operators ${}_{-\infty}I_x^\beta$ and ${}_xI_\infty^\beta$ on the space $C_I(\mathbb{R})$ are defined with*

$${}_{-\infty}I_x^\beta f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(s)}{(x-s)^{1-\beta}} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

and

$${}_xI_\infty^\beta f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(s)}{(s-x)^{1-\beta}} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

With this, the left and right-sided Riemann–Liouville derivatives *of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ are given by*

$${}^{RL}_{-\infty}\partial_x^\alpha f(x) = \partial_x^n \, {}_{-\infty}I_x^{n-\alpha} f(x)$$

and

$${}^{RL}_{x}\partial_\infty^\alpha f(x) = (-1)^n \partial_x^n \, {}_xI_\infty^{n-\alpha} f(x),$$

where n is the integer with $n-1 < \alpha < n$.
Accordingly, for a function $f = f_0 + C_f$, where $f_0 \in C_I(\mathbb{R})$ and C_f is a constant function we define the Riesz derivative with

$$\partial_{|x|}^\alpha f(x) = C_\sigma({}^{RL}_{-\infty}\partial_x^\alpha f_0(x) + {}^{RL}_{x}\partial_\infty^\alpha f_0(x)),$$

where $C_\sigma = -\frac{\sigma}{2\cos\alpha\frac{\pi}{2}}$ with a given positive constant σ.

Remarks:
1. For the simplicity, the constant σ – which corresponds to the intensity of the superdiffusive process in the real-life phenomena – does not appear in the notation $\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}$.
2. In the original definition, the Riemann–Liouville derivatives are given on a bounded interval $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that in the above definition $-\infty$ and ∞ are substituted with a and b, respectively. In this case ${}_aI^{\beta}_x$ and ${}_xI^{\beta}_b$ can be defined for the exponent $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ or even for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}\,\beta > 0$, in case of complex valued functions, see Section 2.1 in [13]. Moreover, the definition can be extended to be a bounded operator on the function space $L_1(a,b)$, see Theorem 2.6 in [18]. For an overview of the alternating notations and definitions, we refer to the review paper [10].
3. An advantage of the above approach is that alternative definitions on real axis coincide. For instance, fractional derivatives can be interpreted using the fractional power of the negative Laplacian $-\Delta$, see Lemma 1 in [26]. This can be introduced via Fourier transform, see Section 2.6 in [18]. For more information and multidimensional extension of the Riesz derivative see also Section 2.10 in [13]. Note that finite difference discretizations for Riesz fractional derivatives has been studied also in [19] highlighting its connection with probabilistic models.
4. We have left open the question for which functions does Definition 1 make sense. The general answer requires the discussion of the Triebel–Lizorkin spaces [1], [18], which is beyond the scope of this paper. Some sufficient conditions on a bounded interval (a,b) are also discussed in Lemma 2.2 in [13]. At the same time, since we will approximate the Riesz derivative with finite differences of the fractional integrals and verify that the fractional integrals make sense on the function space $C_I(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 1 *For each function $f \in C_I(\mathbb{R})$ and any exponent $\alpha \in (1,2)$ the fractional order integral operators ${}_{-\infty}I^{2-\alpha}_x f$ and ${}_xI^{2-\alpha}_{\infty} f$ make sense.*

Proof: We prove the statement for the right-sided approximation, the left sided can be handled in a similar way. In concrete terms, we prove that

$$\int_x^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s < \infty. \tag{1}$$

Obviously, there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a + (b-a)k > x$ and accordingly,

$$\int_x^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \int_x^{a+(b-a)k} \frac{f(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{a+(b-a)k}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2}$$

Here, using the condition $0 < \alpha - 1 < 1$ we have that the first term is finite. To estimate the second one, we introduce the function $F : (a + (b-a)k, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$F(s) = \int_{a+(b-a)k}^{s} f(s^*)\,\mathrm{d}s^*$$

such that $F'(s) = f(s)$ on $(a + (b-a)k, \infty)$. Also, since $f \in C_I(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $0 = F(a + (b-a)k) = F(a + (b-a)(k+1)) = \dots$ such that F is bounded.

With this the second term on the right hand side of (2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a+(b-a)k}^{\infty} \frac{F'(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s &= \left[\frac{F(s)}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\right]_{a+(b-a)k}^{\infty} - \int_{a+(b-a)k}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)\cdot(1-\alpha)}{(s-x)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= -\int_{a+(b-a)k}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)\cdot(1-\alpha)}{(s-x)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}$$

which is also finite since F is bounded and $2-\beta > 1$. Therefore, (1) is finite, which proves the lemma. $\square$

Fundamental solutions For the forthcoming analysis we analyze the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u(t,x) = \partial_{|x|}^{\alpha} u(t,x) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

with a given initial function $u_0 \in C_I(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in (1,2]$.

Lemma 2 *The Cauchy problem in* (3) *has a unique solution and can be given by*

$$u(t,x) = (\Phi_{\alpha,t} * u_0)(x), \tag{4}$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha,t}$ denotes the fundamental solution corresponding to the Riesz fractional differential operator $\partial_{|x|}^{\alpha}$, furthermore, $u(t,\cdot) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Proof: Applying the spatial Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ to the equations in (3) we have

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t \mathcal{F}u(t,s) = -|s|^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}u(t,s) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ s \in \mathbb{R} \\ \mathcal{F}u(0,s) = \mathcal{F}u_0(s) \quad s \in \mathbb{R},\end{cases}$$

where we have used the identity $\mathcal{F}\left[\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}u(t,x)\right](s) = -|s|^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}u(t,s)$, see [10], p. 38. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}u(t,s) = e^{-t|s|^{\alpha}}\mathcal{F}u_0(s)$ such that an inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ implies that

$$u(t,x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|s|^{\alpha}}\mathcal{F}u_0(s))(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|s|^{\alpha}}) * u_0(x).$$

In this way, the fundamental solution of (3) can be given as

$$\Phi_{\alpha,t}(s) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha}})(s) = \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha}})(s).$$

Using the fact that the function to transform is even and the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\exp\{-a|\cdot|\}(s)$ can be given (see, *e.g.*, [9], p. 1111) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\alpha,t}(s) &= \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha}})(s) = \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|}e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}})(s) = \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|})(s) * \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}})(s)\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}t}{t^2+s^2} * \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}})(s).\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here for any fixed t the real function given by $s \to \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}t}{t^2+s^2}$ is in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and also all of its derivatives are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, for $\alpha > 1$ the real function given with $e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}}$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, therefore $\mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}})$ is bounded and continuous. Consequently, using (5) the right hand side of the equality

$$\partial^k\Phi_{\alpha,t}(s) = \partial^k\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}t}{t^2+s^2} * \mathcal{F}(e^{-t|x|^{\alpha-1}})(s)$$

makes sense, which gives statement in the lemma. □

Discretization The finite difference approximation of the fractional order derivatives is not straightforward. It turns out that an obvious one-sided finite difference approximation of the one-sided Riemann–Liouville derivatives results in an unstable method even if an implicit Euler method is applied for the time marching scheme [14]. To stabilize these schemes, we need to use the *translated Grünwald–Letnikov formula*, which is given for $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\alpha,p,h}_{-\infty,GL}f(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\lim_{M\to\infty}\frac{1}{h^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=0}^{M}\frac{\Gamma(k-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+1)}f(x-(k-p)h)\\ &= \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}g_k f(x-(k-p)h)\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Figure 1: Basis points for the the left-sided ($*$) and the right-sided ($\Diamond$) translated Grünwald–Letnikov formula (given in (6) and (7)) applied in x with the translation parameter $p = 2$.

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha,p,h}_{\infty,GL} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{\Gamma(k-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+1)} f(x+(k-p)h) \\ &= \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k f(x+(k-p)h) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

depending on the translation parameter $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the order of the differentiation $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and the discretization parameter $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where we used the coefficients

$$g_k = \frac{\Gamma(k-\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} = (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k}.$$

The principle of the two-sided translated discretizations is depicted in Figure 1. These coefficients satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k = 0 \quad \forall\, \alpha \in (1,2] \\ &g_1 = -\alpha,\ g_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We use the same notation for the discrete differential (or difference) operators, i.e. for each $\mathbf{v} = (\dots, v_{-1}, v_0, v_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we write

$$\left[D^{\alpha,p,h}_{-\infty,GL}\mathbf{v}\right]_j = \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k g_k v_{j+p-k} \text{ and } \left[D^{\alpha,p,h}_{\infty,GL}\mathbf{v}\right]_j = \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k g_k v_{j+k-p}, \tag{9}$$

where the superscript j denotes the jth component.
Remarks:

1. One can prove [14] that the integrals in (6) and (7) approximate the corresponding Riemann–Liouville derivatives in the following sense:

$$ {}^{RL}_{-\infty}\partial^{\alpha}_{x} f(x) = D^{\alpha,p,h}_{-\infty,GL} f(x) + \mathcal{O}(h) \tag{10} $$

and similarly,

$$ {}^{RL}_{x}\partial^{\alpha}_{\infty} f(x) = D^{\alpha,p,h}_{\infty,GL} f(x) + \mathcal{O}(h), \tag{11} $$

provided that both of the Fourier transform of f and that of ${}^{RL}_{-\infty}\partial^{\alpha}_{x} f(x)$ and ${}^{RL}_{x}\partial^{\alpha}_{\infty} f(x)$ are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. We will point out that in the present framework no such assumption is necessary.

2. Higher-order finite difference approximations can be obtained as a linear combination of first order ones using different translation parameters. For example, the sum defined by

$$ {}^{GL}_{-\infty}D^{\alpha,p,q}_{x,h} u(x) = \frac{2q-\alpha}{2(p-q)} D^{\alpha,p,h}_{-\infty,GL} u(x) + \frac{2p-\alpha}{2(p-q)} D^{\alpha,p,h}_{-\infty,GL} u(x) \tag{12} $$

provides a second order accurate approximation of the Riemann–Liouville derivative. Similar statement holds if $-\infty$ is switched to ∞. For the details, see [27].

3. The nonlocal effect of the differential operators result in full matrices. At the same time, one can save some computing efforts with an appropriate decomposition of the above matrix [25].

4. An alternative approximation of fractional order elliptic operators (which can be applied in multidimensional cases) was proposed in [3], which can be a basis also for finite element discretizations.

3 Results

3.1 Extensions

Extensions are not only necessary to have well-posed problems involving nonlocal diffusion operators, but also essential at the discrete level. In order to have sufficient accuracy in the finite difference approximation near to the boundary and at the boundary of a nonlocal differential operator, it is necessary to have (virtual) gridpoints outside of the original computational domain $\Omega = (a, b)$. This is clearly shown in (6), (7) and (12).

Summarized, the sketch of our approach is the following:

- we extend the problem to $\mathbb{R}$ to get rid of the boundary conditions
- we solve the corresponding Cauchy problem (3) (we will approximate this with finite differences)

- we verify that the desired homogeneous Neumann (or homogeneous Dirichlet) boundary conditions are satisfied for the restriction of the solution.

Definition 2 *We say that the extension*

$$\tilde{\cdot} : L_2(\Omega) \to C_I(\mathbb{R})$$

is compatible *with the homogeneous Neumann (no-flux) or Dirichlet boundary conditions and the operator $\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}$ if the function $\tilde{u}$ is the unique solution of the following problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u}(t,x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|} \tilde{u}(t,x) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \tilde{u}(0,x) = \tilde{u}_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

and $\partial_x \tilde{u}(t,x) = 0$ or $\tilde{u}(t,x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $t > 0$.

In rough terms, one can say that a correct extension of the solution from Ω is the function which solves the extended problem on the whole real axis such that the boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ is still satisfied.

Extension corresponding to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition As a motivation, we use the idea in [20] which is generalized to the case of two absorbing walls. For the simplicity, the definition is given for functions $u : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3 *We call the 2-periodic extension of the function*

$$\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D},1}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (0,1) \\ -u(-x) \quad \text{for } x \in (-1,0) \end{cases}$$

the Dirichlet type extension of u and we denote it with $\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}$.

Remarks:
1. This is sometimes called the odd extension of u and can be obtained first with reflecting the graph of u to $(0,0)$ and $(1,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to extend it to $(-1,2)$ and reflecting this graph further to $(-1,0)$ and $(2,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to extend it to $(-2,3)$ and continuing this process.
2. A natural physical interpretation of this extension is the following: To ensure zero-concentration at the end points in the consecutive time steps, we have to force a skew-symmetric concentration profile around 0 and 1.
3. We may define $u^{\mathcal{D}}(k) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ but as we will see this is not essential.

A simple calculation shows that we have

$$\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(y) = -\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(-y) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1+y) = -\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1-y) \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{13}$$

We define similarly the extension $\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+2}$ with $v_0 = v_{N+1} = 0$:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{D}}]_j = \begin{cases} v_j \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, N+1 \\ -v_{2(N+1)-j} \quad j = N+2, N+3, \ldots, 2N+1 \\ v_{j+2N} \quad j \notin \{0, 1, \ldots, 2N+1\}. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Extension corresponding to homogeneous Neumann boundary condition Similarly to the previous case, the definition is given for functions $u : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4 *We call the 2-periodic extension of the function*

$$\hat{u}^{\mathcal{N},1}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) \quad \textit{for } x \in (0,1) \\ u(-x) \quad \textit{for } x \in (-1,0) \end{cases}$$

the Neumann type extension of u and we denote it with $\hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}$.

Remarks:
1. This is sometimes called the even extension of u and can be obtained first with reflecting the graph of u to the vertical line given with $x = 0$ to extend it to $(-1, 0)$ then to the vertical line given with $x = 1$ to extend it to $(1, 2)$ and continuing this process.
2. A natural physical interpretation of this extension is the following: To ensure zero-flux, we force a symmetric concentration profile around 0 and 1.

A simple calculation shows that

$$\partial_x \hat{f}^{\mathcal{N}}(1+y) = \partial_x \hat{f}^{\mathcal{N}}(1-y) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x \hat{f}^{\mathcal{N}}(y) = \partial_x \hat{f}^{\mathcal{N}}(-y) \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{15}$$

Similar notations are used for the "extended" vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ which is defined as follows:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{N}}]_j = \begin{cases} v_j \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \\ v_{-j-1} \quad j = -N-1, -N, \ldots, -1 \\ v_{j+2N+2} \quad j \notin \{-N-1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, N\}. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

A natural physical interpretation of this extension is that particles are reflected at the boundaries to ensure zero flux. In this way, we also reflect the concentration profile in the model. The principle of the Neumann extension for a vector is visualized in Figure 2. We verify that the above extensions meet the requirements in Definition 2.



Figure 2: Entries of the Neumann extension $\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ of the vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$.

Lemma 3 *The extensions $\hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}$ are compatible with the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary conditions, respectively and with the differential operator $\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}$.*

Proof: According to Lemma (2) we can express the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|} u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0,\cdot) = \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}} \end{cases}$$

with the convolution

$$u(t,\cdot) = \Phi_{\alpha,t} * \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}} = \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}} * \Phi_{\alpha,t},$$

such that

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

Since $\Phi_{\alpha,t} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the same holds for $u(t,\cdot)$. Accordingly, the right and left limit of $\partial_x u(t,\cdot)$ in 1 coincide. Using this, (15) and the fact that $\Phi_{\alpha,t}$ is even we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x u(t,1) &= \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \partial_x u(t, 1-\epsilon_n) = \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} (\partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}} * \Phi_{\alpha,t})(1-\epsilon_n) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(1-\epsilon_n-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(1+\epsilon_n+y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(1+\epsilon_n+y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(-y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\
&= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(1+\epsilon_n-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} (\partial_x \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}} * \Phi_{\alpha,t})(1+\epsilon_n) \\
&= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \partial_x u(t, 1+\epsilon_n) = -\partial_x u(t,1),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition is satisfied in 1. With an obvious modification, using (15), we can also verify the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition $\partial_x u(t,0) = 0$.

Similarly, we can express the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial^\alpha_{|x|} u & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0,\cdot) = \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}} \end{cases}$$

with

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

Since $\Phi_{\alpha,t} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the same holds for $u(t,\cdot)$. Accordingly, the right and left limit of $u(t,\cdot)$ in 1 coincide. Using this and (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,1) &= \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} u(t,1-\epsilon_n) = \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}} * \Phi_{\alpha,t}(1-\epsilon_n) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1-\epsilon_n-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1+\epsilon_n+y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1+\epsilon_n+y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(-y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}}(1+\epsilon_n-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{D}} * \Phi_{\alpha,t}(1+\epsilon_n) = -\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0-} u(t,1+\epsilon_n) = -u(t,1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition is satisfied in 1. With an obvious modification, using (13), we can verify homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition also in 0.

In both cases, the equality $\partial_t u = \partial^\alpha_{|x|} u$ is satisfied in $(0,1)$ which gives the statement in the lemma. □

Using the above extension, we will investigate the numerical solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \partial^\alpha_{|x|} u^{\mathcal{N}}(t,\cdot)(x) \quad t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,1) \\ u(0,x) = u_0 \quad x \in (0,1). \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

The following theorem is the basis of our numerical method, which again confirms the favor of the Neumann type extension.

Theorem 1 *For any $u_0 \in C[0,1]$ the problem in (17) is well-posed, and its unique solution $u \in C^\infty[0,1]$ satisfies the boundary conditions $\partial_x u(t,0) = \partial_x u(t,1) = 0$.*

Proof: We first note that the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \partial^\alpha_{|x|} u(t,x) \quad t \in (0,T),\ x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0,x) = \hat{u}_0^{\mathcal{N}}(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

is well-posed and corresponding to Lemma 3 its solution can be given by

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,-x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(-x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x+y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(-y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= u(t,x). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(t,x+2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x+2-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}^{\mathcal{N}}(x-y)\Phi_{\alpha,t}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \;= u(t,x).$$

Therefore, the restriction of the solution u of (18) solves the problem in (17). Here we have also used throughout that for the Neumann extension: $u^{\mathcal{N}} \in C_I(\mathbb{R})$ such that the Riesz derivative $\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}u^{\mathcal{N}}$ makes sense.

To prove the uniqueness, we assume that v solves (17). According to (15) we obviously have that the Neumann extension satisfies

$$\partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,-x) = \partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{19}$$

To compute the fractional order integral we introduce the function $C_I(\mathbb{R}) \ni \hat{v}_0^{\mathcal{N}} = \hat{v}(t,\cdot)^{\mathcal{N}} - \int_0^1 v(t,\cdot)$ such that we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(2-\alpha)_{\infty}I_x^{2-\alpha}\hat{v}_0^{\mathcal{N}}(-x) = \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(y^*)}{(-x-y^*)^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}y^* + \int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(y^*)}{(y^*+x)^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}y^* \\ &= \int_x^{\infty} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(-y)}{(-x+y)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(-y)}{(-y+x)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y \;= \int_x^{\infty} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(y)}{(-x+y)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{v_0^{\mathcal{N}}(y)}{(-y+x)^{\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \Gamma(2-\alpha)_{\infty}I_x^{2-\alpha}\hat{v}_0^{\mathcal{N}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we also have

$$\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,-x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x). \tag{20}$$

Consequently, (19) and (20) imply

$$\partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,-x) = \partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,-x)$$

and the periodicity obviously gives

$$\partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,2+x) = \partial_t \hat{v}^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,x) = \partial^{\alpha}_{|x|}v^{\mathcal{N}}(t,2+x)$$

such that the Neumann extension $u^{\mathcal{N}}$ solves (18). This, however, has a unique solution, which gives the uniqueness of the solution of (17). $\square$

3.2 Numerical methods

Following the classical method of lines technique we first discretize the spatial variables in the extended problem and choose a time stepping scheme for the full discretization.

To streamline the forthcoming computations, the interval $[0,1]$ will be transformed to $[0,\pi]$, where we use the following grid points:

$$x_j := \pi h\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right) = \pi\left(\frac{1}{2(N+1)}+\frac{j}{N+1}\right). \tag{21}$$

u_j^n denotes the numerical approximation at time $n\tau$ in the grid point x_j and $\mathbf{u}(n\delta,\cdot)$ the values of the analytic solution at time $n\delta$ in the grid points.

3.2.1 Analysis of a finite difference scheme

For the spatial discretization we use the Grünwald–Letnikov approximations in (9) introducing $A_{\alpha,h}\in\mathbb{R}^{(N+1)\times(N+1)}$ with

$$A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{u} = D^{\alpha,1,h}_{-\infty,\mathrm{GL}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{N}} + D^{\alpha,1,h}_{\infty,\mathrm{GL}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{22}$$

This is combined with an implicit Euler time stepping to obtain

$$\frac{u_j^{n+1}-u_j^n}{\tau} = \left[A_{\alpha,h}u^{n+1}\right]_j. \tag{23}$$

To make the consecutive formulas more accessible, we expand (22) in a concrete example.

Example *We give the first component of* $A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{v}$ *for* $\mathbf{v}=(v_0,v_1,v_2,v_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned}[A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{v}]_1 &= g_0v_0+g_1v_1+g_2v_2+g_3v_3+g_4v_3+g_5v_2+g_6v_1+g_7v_0+g_8v_0+\dots\\ &\quad+g_0v_2+g_1v_1+g_2v_0+g_3v_0+g_4v_1+g_5v_2+g_6v_3+g_7v_3+g_8v_2+\dots.\end{aligned}$$

In the general case, using (22), (9) and (16) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}[A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{v}]_j &= \frac{C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j+1} g_k v_{j-k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-j+1} g_k v_{k+j-1}\right.\\ &+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=j+2}^{j+N+2} g_{k+2l(N+1)}v_{k-j-2} + \sum_{k=N-j+2}^{2N-j+2} g_{k+2l(N+1)}v_{2N-k-j+2}\right)\\ &\left.+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=j+N+3}^{j+2N+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)}v_{2N+j-k+3} + \sum_{k=2N-j+3}^{3N-j+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)}v_{k-2N+j-3}\right)\right)\\ &j=1,2,\dots,N-1\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$[A\mathbf{v}]_0 = \frac{2C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\left(g_0 v_1 + g_1 v_0 \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{N+2} g_{k+2l(N+1)} v_{N+2-k} + \sum_{k=N+3}^{2N+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)} v_{2N-k+3}\right)\right), \tag{25}$$

$$[A\mathbf{v}]_N = \frac{2C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\left(g_0 v_{N-1} + g_1 v_N \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{N+2} g_{k+2l(N+1)} v_{N-k+2} + \sum_{k=N+3}^{2N+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)} v_{k-N-3}\right)\right). \tag{26}$$

For $K = 2m+1$ the corresponding matrix can be given with a slight modification. Observe that all of the coefficients g_i arises once on the right hand side of (24), (25) and (26).

Proposition 1 *The matrix $A_{\alpha,h}$ has negative diagonal and non-negative off-diagonal elements.*

Proof: Observe that in (24), (25) and (26) the coefficient of v_j, v_0 and v_N, respectively is g_1 and g_1 appears only here. Therefore, using also (8) we obtain that A has negative diagonals and positive off-diagonals. □

We analyze the properties of the matrix $A_{\alpha,h}$ and the corresponding differential operator.

Lemma 4 *The eigenvectors of the matrix $A_{\alpha,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1)\times(N+1)}$ are given as*

$$\mathbf{v}_h^k = (\cos kx_0, \cos kx_1, \ldots, \cos kx_{N-1}, \cos kx_N)^T$$

for each $k = 0, 1, 2 \ldots, N$ with the corresponding eigenvalues

$$-\frac{\sigma}{\cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\pi\right)}\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^\alpha \sin^\alpha \frac{k\pi h}{2} \cdot \cos\left(k\pi h + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi - k\pi h)\right).$$

Lemma 5 *The eigenfunctions of the operator $\partial^\alpha_{|x|}$ on $(0,1)$ with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions are given by $\{\cos k\pi x\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\sigma \cdot (k\pi)^\alpha\}_{k=1}^\infty$.*

The technical proofs of these statements are postponed to the Appendix.

Proposition 2 *The numerical approximation defined in the scheme* (23) *is consistent with the problem in* (17) *in the maximum norm and the order of the consistency is $\mathcal{O}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(h)$.*

Proof: It is sufficient to prove that the right hand side of (23) provides a first order approximation for the Riesz derivative of order $|\alpha|$. According to the proof of Theorem 1 the analytic solution $u^{\mathcal{N}}$ of (18) is periodic, smooth and it satisfies the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions in 0 and 1. Therefore, its cosine Fourier series is pointwise convergent:

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos k\pi x \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{27}$$

where we do not denote the time dependence of the cosine Fourier coefficients F_k. Using again that $u(t,\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we also have - using the regularity theory of Fourier series - that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant C_r such that

$$|F_k| \leq \frac{C_r}{(k\pi)^r} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^+. \tag{28}$$

Using (27) componentwise for $t = n\delta$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(h\delta,\cdot) &= [u(n\delta,\frac{x_0}{\pi})\ u(n\delta,\frac{x_1}{\pi})\ \ldots\ u(n\delta,\frac{x_N}{\pi})]^T \\ &= [\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos kx_0\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos kx_1\ \ldots\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos kx_N]^T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \mathbf{v}_h^k. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Using Lemma 5 for the expansion in (27) and the matrix $A_{\alpha,h}$ for (29) according to (41) we obtain the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^\alpha_{|x|} u(n\delta,\frac{x_j}{\pi}) - [A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{u}(n\delta,\cdot)]_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -\sigma(k\pi)^\alpha F_k \cos k\pi x_j - \left[A_{\alpha,h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \mathbf{v}_h^k\right]_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \cos k\pi x_j \left(-\sigma(k\pi)^\alpha - \frac{2C_\sigma}{h^\alpha} 2^\alpha \sin^\alpha \frac{k\pi h}{2} \cdot \cos\left(k\pi h + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi - k\pi h)\right)\right) \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma F_k \cos k\pi x_j (k\pi)^\alpha \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin\frac{k\pi h}{2}}{\frac{k\pi h}{2}}\right)^\alpha \frac{\cos\left(k\pi h + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi - k\pi h)\right)}{\cos\frac{k\pi h}{2}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

To prove the proposition we first verify that for a mesh-independent constant C the following inequality is valid:

$$\left|1 - \left(\frac{\sin s}{s}\right)^\alpha \left(\cos s(\alpha-2) - \sin s(\alpha-2)\tan\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)\right| \leq Cs, \tag{31}$$

which will be applied with $s = \frac{k\pi h}{2}$. We first verify that

$$h(s) := 1 - \left(\frac{\sin s}{s}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\cos s(\alpha-2) - \sin s(\alpha-2)\tan\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \le Cs, \tag{32}$$

where $\lim_{s\to 0+} h(s) = 0$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that h' is bounded on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} h'(s) = \alpha &\left(\frac{\sin s}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\sin s - s\cdot\cos s}{s^2} \cdot (\alpha-2)\left[\cos s(\alpha-2) - \sin s(\alpha-2)\tan\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{\sin s}{s}\right)^{\alpha} \left((\alpha-2)\left(\sin s(\alpha-2) - \cos s(\alpha-2)\tan\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lim_{0+} \frac{s\cdot\cos s - \sin s}{s^2} = \lim_{0+} \frac{s\sin s}{2s} = 0.$$

Hence, all components in the expansion of $h'(s)$ are bounded, which really verifies (32). Using (32) in (30) and applying (28) we obtain the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\partial^{\alpha}_{|x|} u(n\delta, \frac{x_j}{\pi}) - [A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{u}(n\delta,\cdot)]_j\right| \le \frac{C}{2}h\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma F_k \cos k\pi x_j (k\pi)^{\alpha} k\pi\right| \\ &\le \frac{C\cdot\sigma}{2}h\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|F_k|(k\pi)^{\alpha+1} \le \frac{C_5 C\cdot\sigma}{2}h\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(k\pi)^5}(k\pi)^{\alpha+1} \le \frac{C_5 C\cdot\sigma}{2}h\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(k\pi)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2 *The numerical approximation defined in the scheme* (23) *converges to the solution of* (17) *in the maximum norm for* $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ *and the order of the convergence is* $\mathcal{O}(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(h)$.

Proof: Using Proposition 2 we only have to verify the stability of (23). For this, we rewrite it into a linear system

$$(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h})\,\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^n.$$

Using Proposition 1 we obtain that the diagonal of $I - \tau A_{\alpha,h}$ is strictly positive and has non-positive off-diagonals. Moreover, using (24), a simple calculation shows that for the

indices $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h}) \cdot (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^T\right]_j \\
&= 1 + \frac{\tau}{h} \left(\alpha - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k\neq 1}}^{j+1} g_k - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j+2}^{j+2+N} g_{k+2l(N+1)} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j+N+3}^{j+2N+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\tau}{h} \left(\alpha - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k\neq 1}}^{N-j+1} g_k - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N-j+2}^{2N-j+2} g_{k+2l(N+1)} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2N-j+3}^{3N-j+3} g_{k+2l(N+1)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Observe that in the brackets in (34) each coefficient $g_i,\ i \neq 1$ appears once (see the Example and the remark after (26)). According to (8), we obtain

$$\alpha - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k\neq 1}}^{\infty} g_k = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k = 0$$

and therefore, the sum in both brackets on the right hand side of (34) is zero such that the entire right hand side is *one*. Using (25) and (26), an obvious modification of (34) gives its positivity both for the indices $j = 0$ and $j = N$. Therefore,

$$\left[(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h}) \cdot (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^T\right]_j = 1$$

is valid for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N$. In this way, $(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h})^{-1}$ elementwise positive such that $\|(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h})^{-1}\|_\infty = 1$, and consequently, the scheme in (23) is unconditionally stable. □

Construction of the matrix $A_{\alpha,h}$ Whenever the coefficient in the matrix $A_{\alpha,h}$ are based on an infinite number of grid points, it can be computed in concrete terms.

For this we introduce $B_{\alpha,h} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1)\times(N+1)}$ with

$$B_{\alpha,h} = \left(\mathbf{v}_h^0, \mathbf{v}_h^1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_h^N\right),$$

which consists of the eigenvectors of $A_{\alpha,h}$, see Lemma 4. Then

$$(I - \tau A_{\alpha,h}) B_{\alpha,h} = \left((1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^0, (1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^1, \ldots, (1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^N\right),$$

and therefore,

$$\tau A_{\alpha,h} = I - \left((1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^0, (1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^1, \ldots, (1 - \tau\lambda_1)\mathbf{v}_h^N\right) B_{\alpha,h}^{-1}, \tag{35}$$

where on the right hand side all terms can be computed.

Complexity and extension to higher-order methods Since we have explored the eigenvectors of $A_{\alpha,h}$ we do not have to compute its components in the practice as a series. We simply obtain the matrix using (35) such that the extension does not result in extra computational costs.

Higher order methods can be obtained in the same fashion. In the semidiscretization, we should then choose a higher order spatial approximation, *e.g.*, the one in (12) and the accuracy of the time stepping can also be increased, *e.g.*, using a Crank–Nicolson scheme. For homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, such a study is performed (even for the multidimensional case) in [4].

4 Numerical experiments

A homogeneous model problem We first investigate the model problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = 0.25 \partial_{|x|}^{1.2} u(t,x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u(0,x) = \frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2} \quad x \in (0,1) \\ \partial_x u(t,0) = \partial_x u(t,1) = 0 \quad t \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$

which is converted to the well-posed extended problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = 0.25 \partial_{|x|}^{1.2} u(t,x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u(0,x) = \widehat{\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2}}^{\mathcal{N}} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

The analytic solution of (36) on $(0,1) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is

$$u(t,x) = -\frac{14}{120} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{12}{(k\pi)^4} e^{-\frac{t}{4}(k\pi)^{1.2}} \cos(k\pi x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1),$$

which has been computed in the grid points with a high accuracy to verify the convergence of the implicit Euler method. The results of the computations are summarized in Table 1. We computed the error $\|\mathbf{e}_{\tau,h}\|_\infty$ of the approximation in maximum-norm for various time steps and discretization parameters at the final time $t = 1$. One can clearly see the first order convergence which was predicted by the theory, see Theorem 2. The convergence rate was estimated in the consecutive refinement steps using the formula $\log_2 \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{2\tau,2h}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{e}_{\tau,h}\|_\infty} \right)$.

Table 1: Convergence results for the implicit Euler method in (23) applied to (36)

Grid parameter (h)	Time step (τ)	Error in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$-norm	Convergence rate
$1/2$	$1/2$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\emptyset$
$1/4$	$1/4$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.1583
$1/8$	$1/8$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.3342
$1/16$	$1/16$	$7.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.6299
$1/32$	$1/32$	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.8007
$1/64$	$1/64$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.8952
$1/128$	$1/128$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.9459
$1/256$	$1/256$	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.9725
$1/512$	$1/512$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.9861

The model of (fractional order) diffusion predicts that in case of homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions the total mass should be preserved. Accordingly, in the numerical simulations above, the l_1 norm should be constant, which is an easy consequence of the fact, that the sum of the elements in the columns of $A_{\alpha,h,\infty}$ is zero.

Therefore, we examine the boundary conditions in course of the simulations. For this, we use the second order accurate approximation

$$\partial_x u(t,0) = \frac{1}{2h}(3u(t,0) - 4u(t,h) + u(t,2h)) \tag{37}$$

and the results are shown in Figure 3. For the simplicity, we applied the same number of grid points in the spatial and the time coordinates. This accurate approximation can be recognized as the numerical equivalent of Lemma 3.

An inhomogeneous model problem We second investigate the model problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = 0.5 \cdot \partial^{1.6}_{|x|} u(t,x) + e^{-t}\cos \pi x \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u(0,x) = 2x^2 - \frac{4}{3}x^3 \quad x \in (0,1) \\ \partial_x u(t,0) = \partial_x u(t,1) = 0 \quad t \in (0,1), \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

which is converted to the well-posed extended problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = 0.5 \cdot \partial^{1.6}_{|x|} u(t,x) + e^{-t}\cos \pi x \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u(0,x) = \widehat{2x^2 - \frac{4}{3}x^3}^{\mathcal{N}} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{39}$$



Figure 3: The approximation (37) of the derivative $\partial_x u(1,0)$ in the numerical simulations vs. the number of gridpoints.

We split the original equation in (38) into two ones as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1(t,x) = 0.5 \cdot \partial_{|x|}^{1.6} u_1(t,x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u_1(0,x) = 2x^2 - \frac{4}{3}x^3 \quad x \in (0,1) \\ \partial_x u_1(t,0) = \partial_x u_1(t,1) = 0 \quad t \in (0,1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{2,t^*}(t,x) = 0.5 \cdot \partial_{|x|}^{1.6} u_{2,t^*}(t,x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1) \\ u_{2,t^*}(0,x) = e^{-t^*} \cos \pi x \quad x \in (0,1) \\ \partial_x u_{2,t^*}(t,0) = \partial_x u_{2,t^*}(t,1) = 0 \quad t \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$

the analytic solution of which be given as

$$u_1(t,x) = \frac{1}{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{(k\pi)^4} e^{-\frac{t}{2}(k\pi)^{1.6}} ((-1)^k - 1) \cos(k\pi x) \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1),$$

and

$$u_{2,t^*}(t,x) = e^{-t^{1.6} - \frac{t\pi}{2}} \quad x \in (0,1),\ t \in (0,1).$$

These have been computed in the grid points with a high accuracy to verify the convergence of the implicit Euler method.

Then, according to the Duhamel's principle (see [8], p. 49) we have

$$u(t,x) = u_1(t,x) + \int_0^t u_{2,t^*}(t-t^*,x)\,\mathrm{d}t^*.$$

Accordingly, for the numerical solution at $t = 1$ we compute first the approximation of $u_1(t,\cdot)$ at the grid points. Then with the same time steps and spatial accuracy we approximate

$$u_{2,0}(1,\cdot), u_{2,\tau}(1-\tau,\cdot), u_{2,2\tau}(1-2\tau,x), \ldots, u_{2,1}(0,x),$$

where indeed, the last term is already given. Then a composite trapezoidal numerical integration

$$u(1,x) \approx \frac{\tau}{2}u_{2,0}(1,\cdot) + \tau(u_{2,\tau}(1-\tau,\cdot) + u_{2,2\tau}(1-2\tau,x) + \cdots + u_{2,1-\tau}(\tau,x)) + \frac{\tau}{2}u_{2,1}(0,x)$$

gives the desired approximation in x.

The results of the computations are summarized in Table 2, where in all cases $\tau = 1/1024$ such that the numerical integration does not harm the predicted order of convergence.

Table 2: Convergence results for the implicit Euler method in (23) applied to (38)

Grid parameter (h)	Time step (τ)	Error in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$-norm	Convergence rate
1/2	1/2	$6.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\emptyset$
1/4	1/4	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.7384
1/8	1/8	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.8490
1/16	1/16	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.9142
1/32	1/32	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.9535
1/64	1/64	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.9756
1/128	1/128	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.9875
1/256	1/256	$7.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.9937
1/512	1/512	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.9967

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 4: We first observe that the Neumann extension is the natural one for $\mathbf{v}_h^k$ in the sense that

$$\left[\widehat{\mathbf{v}_h^k}^{\mathcal{N}}\right]_j = \cos kx_j \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{40}$$

Then according to (22), (40), (21), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[A_{\alpha,h}\mathbf{v}_h^k\right]_j &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\left(g_l\left[\widehat{\mathbf{v}_h^k}^{\mathcal{N}}\right]_{j+l-1} + g_l\left[\widehat{\mathbf{v}_h^k}^{\mathcal{N}}\right]_{j-l+1}\right)\\
&= \frac{C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_l(\cos kx_{j+l-1} + \cos kx_{j-l+1})\\
&= \frac{C_\sigma}{h^\alpha}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_l\left(\cos k\pi h\left(j+\frac{1}{2}+l-1\right) + \cos k\pi h\left(j+\frac{1}{2}-(l-1)\right)\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_l\cos k\pi h(l-1)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}\Re\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\exp\{-ik\pi h\}g_l\exp\{ik\pi hl\}\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}\Re\left(\exp\{-ik\pi h\}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\binom{\alpha}{l}\exp\{ik\pi hl\}\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}\Re\left(\exp\{-ik\pi h\}(1-\exp\{ik\pi h\})^\alpha\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}\Re\left(\exp\{-ik\pi h\}\cdot 2^\alpha\sin^\alpha\frac{k\pi h}{2}\cdot\left(\cos\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h) - i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h)\right)\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}2^\alpha\sin^\alpha\frac{k\pi h}{2}\cdot\left(\cos k\pi h\cos\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h) - \sin k\pi h\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h)\right)\\
&= \frac{2C_\sigma\cos kx_j}{h^\alpha}2^\alpha\sin^\alpha\frac{k\pi h}{2}\cdot\cos\left(k\pi h+\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

where the have used the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\exp\{ik\pi h\})^\alpha &= \left(2\sin\frac{k\pi h}{2}\cdot\left(\sin\frac{k\pi h}{2} - i\cos\frac{k\pi h}{2}\right)\right)^\alpha\\
&= 2^\alpha\sin^\alpha\frac{k}{2}\pi h\cdot\left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{k\pi h}{2}\right) - i\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{k\pi h}{2}\right)\right)^\alpha\\
&= 2^\alpha\sin^\alpha\frac{k\pi h}{2}\cdot\left(\cos\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h) - i\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}(\pi-k\pi h)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The definition of C_σ gives then the statement in the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5: In the proof, we use the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty x^{n-1}\cos bx\,\mathrm{d}x &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{b^n}\cos\frac{n\pi}{2},\\ \int_0^\infty x^{n-1}\sin bx\,\mathrm{d}x &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{b^n}\sin\frac{n\pi}{2},\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

which can be found in [9], 3.761/9.

Observe that the even extension of the $\cos(k\pi\cdot)|_{(0,1)}$ function to the real axis is the $\cos(k\pi\cdot)$ function itself. On the other hand, as it was pointed out in [26], we can differentiate the integrals in the Riemann–Liouville formula to obtain

$$\partial^\alpha_{|x|}\cos(k\pi x) = -\frac{\sigma\cdot(k\pi)^2}{2\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}\left(-\int_\infty^x \frac{\cos k\pi s}{(x-s)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s\;-\int_\infty^x \frac{\cos k\pi s}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right).\tag{43}$$

Using (42), the first term can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\cos k\pi s}{(x-s)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos k\pi(x-y)}{y^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &= \cos k\pi x\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos k\pi y}{y^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}y\; + \sin k\pi x\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin k\pi y}{y^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(k\pi)^{2-\alpha}}\left(\cos k\pi x\cos\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2}+\sin k\pi x\sin\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

A similar computations gives that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_x^\infty \frac{\cos k\pi s}{(s-x)^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos k\pi(x+y)}{y^{\alpha-1}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(k\pi)^{2-\alpha}}\left(\cos k\pi x\cos\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2}-\sin k\pi x\sin\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equality in (43) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\alpha_{|x|}\cos(k\pi x) &= \frac{\sigma\cdot(k\pi)^2}{2\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{(k\pi)^{2-\alpha}}2\cos k\pi x\cos\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2}\\ &= \frac{\sigma\cdot(k\pi)^\alpha}{2\cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}2\cos k\pi x\cos\frac{\pi(2-\alpha)}{2} = -\sigma\cdot(k\pi)^\alpha\cos k\pi x.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the system $\{\cos k\pi x\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is complete in $L_2(0,1)$ such that no further eigenfunctions can exist. □

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Hungarian National Research Fund OTKA (grants K104666 and K112157).

References

[1] R. A. Adams and J. J. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press, Amsterdam, second edition, 2003. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 140.

[2] D. A. Benson, S. W. Wheatcraft, and M. M. Meerschaert. Application of a fractional advection-dispersion equation. *Water Resources Research*, 36(6):1403–1412 (electronic), 2000.

[3] A. Bonito and J. Pasciak. Numerical approximation of fractional powers of elliptic operators. Submitted, arXiv:1307.0888.

[4] W. H. Deng and M. Chen. Efficient numerical algorithms for three-dimensional fractional partial diffusion equations. *J. Comp. Math.*, 32(4):371–391, 2014.

[5] Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, R. Lehoucq, and K. Zhou. Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints. *SIAM Rev.*, 54(4):667–696, 2012.

[6] Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, R. B. Lehoucq, and K. Zhou. A nonlocal vector calculus, nonlocal volume-constrained problems, and nonlocal balance laws. *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 23(03):493–540, 2013.

[7] A. M. Edwards, R. A. Phillips, N. W. Watkins, M. P. Freeman, E. J. Murphy, V. Afanasyev, S. V. Buldyrev, M. G. E. da Luz, E. P. Raposo, H. E. Stanley, and G. M. Viswanathan. Revisiting Lévy flight search patterns of wandering albatrosses, bumblebees and deer. *Nature*, 449:1044–1048, 2007.

[8] L. C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[9] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, sixth edition, 2000. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger.

[10] R. Hilfer. Threefold introduction to fractional derivatives. In R. Klages, G. Radons, and I. Sokolov, editors, *Anomalous Transport: Foundations and Applications*, pages 17–73. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2008.

[11] J.-F. Huang, N. Nie, and Y.-F. Tang. A second order finite difference-spectral method for space fractional diffusion equations. *Sci. Chin. Math.*, 57(6):1303–1317, 2014.

[12] M. Ilic, F. Liu, I. Turner, and V. Anh. Numerical approximation of a fractional-in-space diffusion equation. I. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 8(3):323–341, 2005.

[13] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.

[14] M. M. Meerschaert and C. Tadjeran. Finite difference approximations for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 172(1):65–77, 2004.

[15] K. S. Miller and B. Ross. *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1993.

[16] R. Nochetto, E. Otárola, and A. Salgado. A pde approach to fractional diffusion in general domains: A priori error analysis. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 2014.

[17] I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications.

[18] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikol′skiĭ, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Revised by the authors.

[19] S. Shen, F. Liu, V. Anh, and I. Turner. The fundamental solution and numerical solution of the Riesz fractional advection-dispersion equation. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 73(6):850–872, 2008.

[20] P. Szymczak and A. J. C. Ladd. Boundary conditions for stochastic solutions of the convection-diffusion equation. *Phys. Rev. E*, 68:036704, Sep 2003.

[21] C. Tadjeran and M. M. Meerschaert. A second-order accurate numerical method for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion equation. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 220(2):813–823, 2007.

[22] C. Tadjeran, M. M. Meerschaert, and H.-P. Scheffler. A second-order accurate numerical approximation for the fractional diffusion equation. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 213(1):205–213, 2006.

[23] W. Tian, H. Zhou, and W. Deng. A class of second order difference approximation for solving space fractional diffusion equations. *Math. Comp.*, 2014. to appear, `arXiv:1201.5949[math.NA]`.

[24] R. A. Treumann. Theory of super-diffusion for the magnetopause. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24(14):1727–1730, 1997.

[25] H. Wang and T. S. Basu. A fast finite difference method for two-dimensional space-fractional diffusion equations. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 34(5):A2444–A2458, 2012.

[26] Q. Yang, F. Liu, and I. Turner. Numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations with Riesz space fractional derivatives. *Appl. Math. Model.*, 34(1):200–218, 2010.

[27] H. Zhou, W. Tian, and W. Deng. Quasi-compact finite difference schemes for space fractional diffusion equations. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 56(1):45–66, 2013.